

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 9-13528-107US 5530 08/28/2000 Diana Joan Wilhelm 09/648,610 **EXAMINER** 20988 05/14/2004 7590 **OGILVY RENAULT** JUNG, MIN 1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1600** MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3 2663 CANADA DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
` Office Action Summary	09/648,610	WILHELM, DIANA JOAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Min Jung	2663
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	inication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a a munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON bly will, by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
, 	iled on <u>22 March 2004</u> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final. n for allowance except for formal matt ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 and 28-32 is/are allo 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 23-27 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
Applicant may not request that any obj	e: a) accepted or b) objected to jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyaring the correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat		pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	(PTO-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2663

3

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al., 5,946,316 (Chen).

Chen discloses dynamic distributed multicast routing protocol. Chen teaches sending a request to join a multicast connection to an originator of the multicast and a mechanism for connecting the requestor to the multicast connection. Specifically, Chen teaches a method and system for setting up a connection through an ATM switching network between a sink node and a

Art Unit: 2663

100

multicast tree, the multicast tree being coupled to the ATM switching network via an ingress node, and the sink node being coupled to the ATM switching network via an access module (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 22, the ATM switching network reads on the network of ATM switches (100) shown in Fig. 1 of Chen (col. 3, lines 5-7), the access module reads on the 'nearest node' (node E, col. 2, line 35) or 'associated serving node' (col. 1, line 23), and the sink node reads on the work station 170 and monitor 175 (requesting node, col. 2, lines 34-35, and col. 3, lines 7-16). Since the ATM node E handles the access of the workstation (sink node) to the multicast tree formed by the network of ATM switches, the "join" function associated with node E reads on the grafting function associated with the access module recited in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-21 and 28-32 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 23-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed March 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants allege that Chen fails to teach an access module for an ATM network, which comprises means for grafting a leaf to the

Art Unit: 2663

multicast tree to connect the sink node to the multicast tree. Chen does not use the term "access module". However, the function of the node E in Chen meets the claimed function of access module claimed. See the detailed explanation above.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is 703-305-4363. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax

Art Unit: 2663

I

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJ May 13, 2004 Min Jung

Primary Examiner